

# Accountability Report

## Learning Improvement Plans

Randy Emmerson, Superintendent of Schools September 9, 2019

#### SOURCE DOCUMENTS:

1. The Saskatchewan Rivers Public School Division's Board approved 2017-2020 Strategic Plan states the following in its preamble:

"Saskatchewan Rivers Public Schools are committed to providing quality education for all students as exemplified by the school division motto "Excellence for Every Learner". The work of the school division is driven by our commitment to achieving our long range goals through broad strategies and specific actions in each goal area."

- 2. Saskatchewan Rivers Public School Division Board Policy 2 Role of the Board
  - 3.1 Provide overall direction for the Division by establishing annual priorities and key results.
    - 3.1.1 Annually approve budget (driven by the Board priorities).
  - 3.2 Identify accountability reports to be presented to the Board and through such reports monitor progress toward the achievement of key results.
  - 3.3 Annually evaluate the effectiveness of the Division in terms of key results.

#### EVIDENCE:

#### 1. What is a Learning Improvement Plan?

The Learning Improvement Plan (LIP) is a school-level planning document that is a support for schools in their strategic improvement planning. The goals in the LIP align with the division Strategic Plan.

The Learning Improvement Plans in 2018-19 contained four key components:

- Educational Priority Area for Learning Improvement
- Needs Analysis
- School Goal Baseline data; School Target Info and Results
- Implementation Plan Broad strategies and specific actions

The LIP in the 2018-19 school year included three additional strategies

- Attendance;
- Technology; and
- Engagement.

#### 2. Purposes:

- a. **Planning:** The LIP is an integral part of the planning and reporting of school achievement throughout the school year as it is a source document for planning and reflection by the Dreamcatcher Leadership Teams and by the school administrators
- **b. Reflection:** School administrators and superintendents of schools reflect on the progress towards school goals during learning walks.
- c. **Reporting:** Achievement data related to schools' identified goals is submitted in late June and the LIP is used as the reporting tool. The data from the LIPs is collated and comparisons can be made between years.

#### 3. Summary of Results of School LIPs – Goal Achievement Success

#### 2017-18

| 2017-18 |            |                   |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|         | Number of  |                   | Percentage  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Students   |                   | of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | that       |                   | that        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Reached    | Total<br>Studente | Reached     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0     | Their Goal | Students          | Their Goal  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AP      | 237        | 260               | 91%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR      | 113        | 118               | 96%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BH      | 164        | 179               | 92%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carlton | 197        | 288               | 68%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CW      | 90         | 103               | 87%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C Lake  | 109        | 165               | 66%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DB      | 60<br>108  | 97                | 62%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EC      | 108        | 130               | 83%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EV      | 490        | 578               | 85%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JD      | 328        | 352               | 93%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KG      | 179        | 190               | 94%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KN      | 134        | 184               | 73%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MP      | 180        | 245               | 73%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OS      | 85         | 98                | 87%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PACI    | 124        | 170               | 73%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM      | 189        | 238               | 79%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QM      | 230        | 335               | 69%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RW      | 216        | 239               | 90%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RV      | 280        | 350               | 80%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE      | 114        | 149               | 77%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SH      | 39         | 80                | 49%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SL      | 76         | 92                | 83%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TD      | 114        | 124               | 92%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VM      | 292        | 320               | 91%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WP      | 28         | 30                | 93%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WM      | 48         | 66                | 73%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WC      | 73         | 98                | 74%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WV      | 117        | 173               | 68%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR      | 72         | 87                | 83%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WJB     | 106        | 169               | 63%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals  | 4592       | 5707              | 80%         |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 2018-19 |                               |          |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|         | Number of<br>Students<br>that |          | Percentage<br>of Students<br>that |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Reached                       | Total    | Reached                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Their Goal(s)                 | Students | Their Goal                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| AP      | 378                           | 437      | 86%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR      | 103                           | 130      | 79%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| BH      | 242                           | 272      | 89%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carlton | 175                           | 267      | 66%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| CW      | 110                           | 115      | 96%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| C Lake  | 108                           | 171      | 63%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| DB      | 93                            | 108      | 86%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| EC      | 47                            | 62       | 76%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| EV      | 475                           | 545      | 87%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| JD      | 471                           | 610      | 77%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| KG      | 154                           | 173      | 89%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| KN      | 207                           | 242      | 86%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| MP      | 189                           | 237      | 80%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| OS      | 63                            | 99       | 64%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| PACI    | 144                           | 175      | 82%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM      | 152                           | 182      | 84%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| QM      | 339                           | 539      | 63%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| RW      | 189                           | 233      | 81%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| RV      | 297                           | 421      | 71%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE      | 70                            | 121      | 58%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| SH      | 64                            | 90       | 71%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| SL      | 115                           | 132      | 87%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| TD      | 188                           | 208      | 90%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| VM      | 318                           | 389      | 82%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| WP      | 178                           | 238      | 75%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| WM      | 46                            | 69       | 67%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| WC      | 89                            | 93       | 96%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| WV      | 132                           | 206      | 64%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR      | 68                            | 91       | 75%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| WJB     | 140                           | 173      | 81%                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals  | 5344                          | 6828     | 78%                               |  |  |  |  |  |

#### 4. Sample LIP from

Below is a sample of one of our school's Learning Improvement Plan for 2018-19.



### 2018-2019 Learning Improvement Plan

Principal: Kevin Koroluk Vice Principal(s): Tammie Horan School Community Council Chair: Betty MacDonald

## **1. Educational Priority Area for Learning Improvement**

What is the current state and reason for action?

John Diefenbaker Public School is a Pre-K to Grade 8 school with approximately 420 students. John Diefenbaker Public School is located in Crescent Heights, on the east side of the city of Prince Albert. The school serves a diverse community of learners and offers a variety of academic and athletic programming. John Diefenbaker Public School's community consists of ethnic cultures indigenous to Canada and to several ethnic cultures new to Canada. John Diefenbaker is home to students with thirteen different languages spoken as a first language in student homes.

The staff and students of John Diefenbaker Public School will be achieving at mastery or proficient standards in reading literacy.

One of the challenges that John Diefenbaker Public School faces in meeting the school's smart goal is the inconsistent student attendance and the transient nature of the school population. In the first month of school, JD has registered over 159 new students. JD has a core population of students, but in recent years, the trend has been for the school population to have more ins/ outs than student enrollment over the course of the school year. A large number of EAL students presents a unique academic challenge of providing English instruction to varying levels of English learners. Lastly, John Diefenbaker Public School provides a flexible blocked reading program for grades 1 -5. Flexible blocked reading attempts to address the needs of non-readers and students reading several grades below grade level. Guided reading instruction in grades 6-8 is within the classroom setting where teachers address the many reading levels using the Daily 5 strategies. Blocked reading and classroom instruction uses best practices of modelled, shared, scaffolded and independent reading strategies.

## 2. Needs Analysis

#### What evidence can be provided to support the reason for action?

Outline the best and most recent data available - in relationship to the SRPSD goals in each area. The Student Achievement Data provided should represent the # and % of students who have reached **Mastery/Proficiency** in each area. Numbers less than 10 must be suppressed – enter N/A.

| 201 | 2016-2017                      |  | 2017-2018   |   | 2018-2019  |  |
|-----|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
|     |                                |  |   |   |  |  |
| #/# | %                              | #/#  | %   | #/#   | %  |  |
| 413 | 100%                           | 438  | 100%  | 418   | 100%   |  |
| 222 | 54%                            | 276  | 63%   | 292   | 70%  |  |
| 191 | 46%                            | 162  | 37%   | 126   | 30%  |  |
| 28  | 6%                             | 40   | 9%  | 43  | 10%  |  |
| 98  | 24%                            | 92   | 21%   | 36  | 9%   |  |
|     | #/#<br>413<br>222<br>191<br>28 | #/# %   413 100%   222 54%   191 46%   28 6% | #/#   %   #/#     413   100%   438     222   54%   276     191   46%   162     28   6%   40 | #/#   %   #/#   %     413   100%   438   100%     222   54%   276   63%     191   46%   162   37%     28   6%   40   9% | #/#   %   #/#   %   #/#     413   100%   438   100%   418     222   54%   276   63%   292     191   46%   162   37%   126     28   6%   40   9%   43 |  |

| Student Achievement Data                   | 2015-   | 2016   | 2016-2017 |        | 2017-2018 |        |
|--|---------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
|  | #/#     | %      | #/#       | %      | #/#       | %      |
| DRA Text Levels (K-8 as applicable)        |         |        |           |        |           |        |
| ALL  | 220/356 | 61%    | 217/362   | 61%    | 238/351   | 67.81% |
| FNMI                                       | 125/211 | 59.24  | 110/194   | 57%    | 145/223   | 65.02% |
| NON  | 95/145  | 65.52  | 107/168   | 64%    | 93/128    | 72.66% |
| Math Common Assessment (K-9 as applicable) |         |        |           |        |           |        |
| ALL  | 134/365 | 36.71  | 159/377   | 42%    | 168/376   | 44.68% |
| FNMI                                       | 65/22   | 29.41  | 64/207    | 31%    | 93/246    | 37.8%  |
| NON  | 69/144  | 47.92  | 95/170    | 56%    | 75/130    | 57.69% |
| *Writing Strand (K-9 as applicable)        |         |        |           |        |           |        |
| ALL  | 211/365 | 57.81  | 220/374   | 59%    | 172/321   | 53.58% |
| FNMI                                       | 115/217 | 53%    | 115/215   | 53.49  | 89/187    | 45.81% |
| NON  | 96/148  | 64.86% | 105/159   | 66.04% | 83/124    | 66.94% |

## 3. School Goal – Future State

#### **Reading or Writing or Math**

#### **School Goal:**

JD School Goal- By June 2019, 100% of K to 8 JD Public School students will read grade level text at mastery or proficiency OR have 50% in catch up growth OR reach a predetermined, individual benchmark text level in reading literacy. (individual plan)

|                     | 2018-19 | Baseline | 2018-19 | Targets | 2018-19 Goal Summary |       |
|---------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------------------|-------|
|                     | #/#     | %        | #/#     | %       | #/#                  | %     |
| STUDENTS ACHIEVING  | 225/352 | 63.9%    | 264/389 | 67.8%   | 208/290              | 71.7% |
| MASTERY/PROFICIENCY |         |          |         |         |                      |       |
| STUDENTS ACHIEVING  | 46/352  | 13.1%    | 78/389  | 20.1%   | 35/290               | 12.1% |
| 50% GROWTH          |         |          |         |         |                      |       |
| STUDENTS ACHIEVING  | 57/352  | 16.2%    | 47/389  | 12.1%   | 24/290               | 8.3%  |
| INDIVIDUALIZED GOAL |         |          |         |         |                      |       |
| SCHOOL GOAL         | 328/352 | 93.2%    | 389/389 | 100%    | 267/290              | 92.1% |
| SUMMARY INDICATOR   |         |          |         |         |                      |       |

**Corresponding Division Strategic Planning Goal** (Division Goal with which the School Goal Aligns):

By June 2019, 100% of SRPSD students will be achieving at proficiency or mastery standards in reading literacy Or have 50% catch up growth(students not achieving grade level standards) Or will reach their individual benchmark level in reading literacy (students on individualized plans).

|                                | 2018-19 Baseline |        | 2018-19 Targets |       | 2018-19 Goal Summary |       |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
|                                | #/#              | %      | #/#             | %     | #/#                  | %     |
| STUDENTS ACHIEVING<br>MASTERY/ | 238/351          | 67.81% | 264/389         | 67.8% | 208/290              | 71.7% |
| PROFICIENCY                    |                  |        |                 |       |                      |       |

#### Additional School Goal – Reading or Writing or Math

Second School Goal:

By June 2019 100% of K-8 JD students will comprehend grade level text at mastery or proficiency OR have 50 % catch up growth OR reach a predetermined individual benchmark. This data will be gathered from SA.

|                     | 2018-19 Baseline |       | 2018-19 | Targets | 2018-19 Goal Summary |       |  |  |  |
|---------------------|------------------|-------|---------|---------|----------------------|-------|--|--|--|
|                     | #/#              | %     | #/#     | %       | #/#                  | %     |  |  |  |
| STUDENTS ACHIEVING  | 163/256          | 63.6% | 178/347 | 51.3%   | 194/320              | 60.6% |  |  |  |
| MASTERY/PROFICIENCY |                  |       |         |         |                      |       |  |  |  |
| STUDENTS ACHIEVING  |                  |       | 85/347  | 24.5%   |                      |       |  |  |  |
| 50% GROWTH          |                  |       |         |         |                      |       |  |  |  |
| STUDENTS ACHIEVING  |                  |       | 84/347  | 24.2%   |                      |       |  |  |  |
| INDIVIDUALIZED GOAL |                  |       |         |         |                      |       |  |  |  |
| SCHOOL GOAL         |                  |       | 347/347 | 100%    |                      |       |  |  |  |
| SUMMARY INDICATOR   |                  |       |         |         |                      |       |  |  |  |

**Corresponding Division Strategic Planning Goal** 

(Division Goal with which the School Goal Aligns):

By June 2019, 100% of SRPSD students will be achieving at proficiency or mastery standards in reading literacy Or have 50% catch up growth(students not achieving grade level standards) Or will reach their individual benchmark level in reading literacy (students on individualized plans

|   | 2018-19 Baseline |        | 2018-19 | Targets | 2018-19 Goal Summary |       |  |
|---|------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------------------|-------|--|
|   | #/#              | %      | #/#     | %       | #/#                  | %     |  |
| STUDENTS ACHIEVING<br>MASTERY/<br>PROFICIENCY | 238/351          | 67.81% | 264/389 | 67.8%   | 208/290              | 71.7% |  |

## 4. Implementation Plan

#### School Goal:

JD School Goal- By June 2019, 100% of K to 8 JD Public School students will read grade level text at mastery or proficiency Or have 50% catch up growth (students not achieving grade level standards) OR reach a predetermined, individual benchmark text level in reading literacy. (individual plan)

#### **Corresponding Division Strategic Planning Goal**

By June 2019, 100% of SRPSD students will be achieving at proficiency or mastery standards in reading literacy Or have 50% catch up growth(students not achieving grade level standards) Or will reach their individual benchmark level in reading literacy (students on individualized plans).

**Metrics** (Lag Indicator – How will we measure progress/ growth?): Baseline data will be collected for all new to JD students/May 2018 DRA data will be the baseline for previous JD students DRA results will be collected in Dec and May

**Data Updates** (What is the most recent data and what is the date of the data entry?): June 2018/ Sept 2018

**Strategy 1** (What do we want to do?): **Instruction/ Pedagogy -** Flexible Blocked Reading/ Guided Reading Instruction

#### Action Steps (How will we do it?): Using the DRA

Students will be placed in levelled guided reading groups determined by their baseline DRA. These groups will be flexible. Reading instruction in classrooms will use the instructional strategies as determined by Sask Reads (modelled, shared, scaffolded and independent reading) Growth will be measured by monthly, bimonthly and weekly running records and term DRA's and the Early Literacy Story Retell for K.

Metrics (Lead Indicators – What will we see, hear, do?):

Guided reading groups will meet daily in grades 1-5. Classroom instruction will include the Daily 5 components.

Each group will be levelled and focus on specific explicit reading strategies required to be a successful reader. Grades 6-8 instruction will also meet the varying needs of learners in the classroom with a Daily 5 guided reading structure.

• Mini plc's will focus on best practice for reading instruction (phonological awareness, sight word recognition, word family, spelling patterns, fluency practice to improve text level

- Mini plc's will focus on comprehension strategies using common strategies and language
- Google data wall to monitor progress
- Predetermined benchmarks for student goals
- Formative assessments
- ELP screen K-3
- DC coaching focused on structured specific reading strategies
- Ed support team to provide reading support to struggling readers

**Leads – People Responsible** (Who will lead the strategies and actions?): Administration and the DC team along with the Ed support team will support the classroom teacher's work through the PLC's and the school mini PLC's.

#### Professional Development Required/ SRPSD Initiatives:

Daily 5 The Café **Reciprocal Teaching Strategy** The Reading Strategies Book Saskatchewan Reads **Division Support Connections:** Corrine Schwehr – Data consultant Stacey Monette- IL consultant Ron Fines- Technology support Randy Emmerson – Superintendent of Curriculum Kathleen Schwartzenberger- Early Years Consultant **Resources Required:** DRA kits Sask. Reads Document **Running Records** Daily 5 The Café **Reciprocal Teaching Strategy** The Reading Strategies Book JD running record kits- each grade has running record kits

**Strategy 2** (What do we want to do?): **Formative Assessment/ Reassessment Strategies -**Effective Instruction and Pedagogy-differentiate instructional methods to meet students' needs

Timeline for Strategy & Action Implementation: Ongoing assessment- formative and summative

Monthly and bi-weekly reading check-ins (running records/DRA)

Timeline for Strategy & Action Implementation: Monthly and bi-weekly reading check-ins (running records/DRA) Action Steps (How will we do it?):

- Guided reading in classroom using modelled, shared, scaffolded and independent reading
- Flexible blocked reading groups
- Model reading strategies during flexible grouping instruction
- Monitor student growth using DRA level running records
- Explicit teaching of reading strategies
- Model Daily Café and Daily 5
- Mini plc's sharing explicit best practices for reading instruction
- Sticky team initiatives
- Shared google data wall
- EAL classroom
- Squiggle Park (K-3)
- Sound Reading program

**Metrics** (Lead Indicators – What will we see, hear, do?):

- Extra reading support groups- DC support/Ed support
- Reading tutors
- Using support people (EA/substitutes) to work on skills utilize the proper technique, timing, and use of running records
- utilize running record template
- interpret and analyze student data to plan strategic instruction (fluency, accuracy, and/or text level)

#### **Leads – People Responsible** (Who will lead the strategies and actions?):

Administration and the DC team and the Ed support team will support the classroom teacher's work through the PLC's and the school mini PLC's.

#### Professional Development Required/ SRPSD Initiatives:

#### **Division Support Connections:**

Corrine Schwehr – Data consultant Stacey Monette- IL consultant Ron Fines- Technology support Randy Emmerson – Superintendent of Curriculum Kathleen Schwartzenberger- Early Years Consultant **Resources Required:** DRA kits Saskatchewan Reads Running Records Daily 5 The Café Reciprocal Teaching Strategy The Reading Strategies Book JD running record kits

**Strategy 3** (What do we want to do?): **Student Goal Setting** 

Action Steps (How will we do it?):

- set specific goals for students not at grade level
- implement the cyclical process of student goal setting
- utilize formative assessment (running record) data
- tracking of achievement progress
- analyze progress toward goals through student teacher conversation/conference

**Metrics** (Lead Indicators – What will we see, hear, do?):

- individual plans for students
- small group instructional reading sessions
- reading strategies interventions
- Squiggle Park- technology
- DC coach intervention
- Ed support intervention

Leads – People Responsible (Who will lead the strategies and actions?):

Administration and the DC team will support the classroom teacher's work through the PLC's and the school mini PLC's.

#### Professional Development Required/ SRPSD Initiatives:

#### **Division Support Connections:**

Corrine Schwehr – Data consultant Stacey Monette- IL consultant Ron Fines- Technology support Randy Emmerson – Superintendent of Curriculum Lambert Schwartzenberger - IN Consultant Tom Michaud **Resources Required:** Goal setting templates Running records Google data wall The Café The Daily 5 **Reciprocal Teaching** The Reading Strategies Book Squiggle Park – technology Levelled reading tubs

Timeline for Strategy & Action Implementation: Bimonthly running record check-ins for reading growth Conferencing with students

#### Strategy 4 - Attendance

Action Steps (How will we do it?):

- Communication -personal phone calls from SW to welcome families to JD
- Communication through newsletters/ SchoolMessenger/SEESAW/Remind/texts
- Positive conversations with students and families

**Metrics** (Lead Indicators – What will we see, hear, do?):

- Positive interactions (phone calls from administration about success in school)
- Connections between school and home
- Individual plans with students and families with chronic absenteeism
- Classroom teachers alert administration with attendance concerns
- **Leads People Responsible** (Who will lead the strategies and actions?):

Administration and the school Social Worker will lead.

#### **Professional Development Required/ SRPSD Initiatives:** SRPSD attendance initiatives

**Division Support Connections:** 

**Resources Required:** 

#### Strategy 5 – Technology

Action Steps (How will we do it?):

- Opportunity for all students to access technology
- Pods of chrome books/laptops in every division
- Technology equipment in every classroom as center
- Technology is an integral part of the school day

Metrics (Lead Indicators – What will we see, hear, do?):

- Groups of students using technology to learn in all subject areas
- Classes of students using technology to build technological literacy skills
- Individual students using technology for IIP, IN and for EAL
- Students using technology to learn about the world as an integral part of the school day

**Leads – People Responsible** (Who will lead the strategies and actions?): Admin team, DC team, CAT member, Classroom teachers, Ed support teachers

#### Professional Development Required/ SRPSD Initiatives:

#### **Division Support Connections:**

Stacey Monette- IL consultant Ron Fines- Technology support **Timeline for Strategy & Action Implementation:** September welcome calls to every JD family Check in with families experiencing attendance challenges

**Timeline for Strategy & Action Implementation:** Strategic timetabling of laptop/chromebook use

#### **Resources Required:**

Hardware and software Quality headsets

**Strategy 6 - Community Engagement/Empowerment Action Steps** (How will we do it?):

 Communication – newsletters/SchoolMessenger/ SEESAW/Remind/texting/personal phone calls/electronic advertising sign

**Metrics** (Lead Indicators – What will we see, hear, do?):

- Invitations to school that are engaging, innovative and non threatening
- Invitations where students show their family what is important to them at school
- Events where families feel that the school cares about their child and well being of the family

Leads – People Responsible (Who will lead the strategies and actions?): Administration, DC team, classroom teachers and school staff Professional Development Required/ SRPSD Initiatives:

Division Support Connections: School Social Worker Resources Required: **Timeline for Strategy & Action Implementation:** On going throughout the school year

#### Second School Goal:

By June 2019 100% of K-8 JD students will comprehend grade level text at mastery or proficiency OR have 50 % catch up growth OR reach a predetermined individual benchmark.

#### **Corresponding Division Strategic Planning Goal**

(Division Goal with which the School Goal Aligns):

By June 2019, 100% of SRPSD students will be achieving at proficiency or mastery standards in reading literacy Or have 50% catch up growth(students not achieving grade level standards) Or will reach their individual benchmark level in reading literacy (students on individualized plans).

**Metrics** (Lag Indicator – How will we measure progress/ growth?):

Baseline data will be collected for all new to JD students/ May 2018 DRA data will be the baseline for previous JD students/DRA data will be collected in DEC 2018 to inform instruction for 2019.

SA comprehension results will be collected Nov Jan and May from SA

Data Updates (What is the most recent data and what is the date of the data entry?):

June 2018/ Sept 2018

Timeline for Strategy & Action Implementation: Ongoing assessment- formative

**Strategy 1** (What do we want to do?): Instruction/ Pedagogy – Comprehension Strategies(Guided Reading) Growth will be measured by monthly, bimonthly and weekly running records and term DRA's and the Early Literacy Story Retell for K. Data will be collected from the reading outcome from SA in each term.

Action Steps (How will we do it?): Using the DRA students will be placed in levelled flexible guided reading groups using student baseline DRA. Text level and comprehension skills will be monitored and assessed.

**Metrics** (Lead Indicators – What will we see, hear, do?):

Classroom instruction and guided reading groups will meet daily in grades 1-5. Sask Reads instructional approaches (modelled, shared, scaffolded and independent reading) will be the foundation for guiding instruction.

Each group will be levelled and flexible and focus on specific explicit reading strategies required to be a successful reader. Grades 6-8 instruction will also meet the varying needs of learners in the classroom with a Daily 5 guided reading structure.

- Mini plc's will focus on comprehension strategies using best practice reading strategies and common language
- Google data wall to monitor progress
- Predetermined benchmarks for student goals
- Formative assessments- running records
- DC coaching focused on structured specific reading strategies
- Ed support team to provide reading support to struggling readers

**Leads – People Responsible** (Who will lead the strategies and actions?): Administration and the DC team along with the Ed support team will support the classroom teacher's work through the PLC's and the school mini PLC's.

#### **Division Support Connections:**

Corrine Schwehr – Data consultant Stacey Monette- IL consultant Ron Fines- Technology support Randy Emmerson – Superintendent of Curriculum Kathleen Schwartzenberger

#### **Resources Required:**

Daily 5 The Café Reciprocal Teaching Strategy The Reading Strategies Book Sask Reads

**Strategy 2** (What do we want to do?): Formative Assessment/ Reassessment Strategies – Effective Instruction and Pedagogy-differentiate instructional methods to meet students' needs

Timeline for Strategy & Action Implementation: Ongoing and formative

Action Steps (How will we do it?):

In levelled flexible blocked reading groups common language and best instructional practice (Sask Reads) focused on reading comprehension skills. Small reading groups of Div 3 students will be supported by DC coach and Ed Support teacher.

**Metrics** (Lead Indicators – What will we see, hear, do?):

Daily blocked reading groups will focus on common language and instructional strategies.

- common language
- modelled, shared, scaffolded and independent reading
- focused teaching on comprehension skills
- running record assessment comprehension component
- term DRA text level and comprehension mark from reading strand of SA
- Reading tutor program support for struggling students

Leads – People Responsible (Who will lead the strategies and actions?):

Administration and the DC team along with the Ed support team will support the classroom teacher's work through the PLC's and the school mini PLC's.

#### Professional Development Required/ SRPSD Initiatives:

#### **Division Support Connections:**

Corrine Schwehr – Data consultant Stacey Monette- IL consultant Ron Fines- Technology support Randy Emmerson- Superintendent of Curriculum Kathleen Schwartzenberger- Early Years Consultant **Strategy 3** (What do we want to do?): Student Goal Setting

Action Steps (How will we do it?):

• set specific goals for students not at grade level

- implement the cyclical process of student goal setting
- utilize formative assessment (running record) data
- tracking of achievement progress
- analyze progress toward goals through student teacher conversation/conference

Metrics (Lead Indicators – What will we see, hear, do?):

- individual plans for students
- small group instructional reading sessions
- reading strategies interventions
- Squiggle Park- technology
- DC coach intervention
- Ed support intervention

Timeline for Strategy & Action Implementation:

Ongoing teacher/student conferences

Leads – People Responsible (Who will lead the strategies and actions?):

Administration and the DC team along with the Ed support team will support the classroom teacher's work through the PLC's and the school mini PLC's.

#### Professional Development Required/ SRPSD Initiatives:

#### **Division Support Connections:**

Corrine Schwehr – Data consultant Stacey Monette- IL consultant Ron Fines- Technology support Randy Emmerson- Superintendent of Curriculum Kathleen Schwartzenberger- Early Years Consultant **Resources Required:** Sask Reads Goal setting templates Running records Google data wall The Café The Daily 5 **Reciprocal Teaching** The Reading Strategies Book Squiggle Park – technology JD levelled reading tubs Sound Reading computer program

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS:**

- 1. Administration will continue to monitor the Learning Improvement Plans to ensure it serves its two main purposes:
  - a. It contains schools' plans for increased achievement;
  - b. It is the tool for reporting student achievement.
- 2. Administration will continue to refine the data collection and reporting process for the Learning Improvement Plans to support our Division's belief in the power of individualized goal setting for every student. The refinement will provide focus for schools in the goal setting and another point of reflection for goal achievement.
- 3. Administration will continue to support and sustain the alignment of the Learning Improvement Plan with the Dreamcatcher Coaching program, the SRPSD Strategic Plan targets and with the Province's ESSP. This alignment creates greater efficiency and clarity for schools in their communication with all parts of their school community. For example, beginning in the 2019-20 school year all schools with Kindergarten programs will be required to have a Learning Improvement Plan goal for Early Learning.

#### **GOVERNANCE IMPLICATIONS**

Based upon the report it is recommended the Board continue to do the following:

- 1. Ensure all schools complete Learning Improvement Plans.
- 2. Ensure Administration continues to provide accountability reporting on LIPS.
- 3. Provide the financial support for student learning and intervention strategies.